CLASSIFICATION OFFICE DECISION

Title of publication: The Wicked Ones

Other known title(s): Not stated

OFLC ref: 0900840.000

Medium: DVD

Distributor: Calvista NZ Ltd

Director: Tanya Hyde

Producer: Not stated

Country of origin: United Kingdom

Language: English

Applicant: Film & Video Labelling Body

Classification:	The unexcised version of this publication is classified as Objectionable. The excised version of this publication is classified as Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.

Excisions: Recommended but not made

Descriptive note: None

Display conditions: None

Date of entry in Register: 22 July 2009

Date of direction to issue a label: No direction to issue a label has been issued

	Components	Running time
Menu:	Play Scenes Quickies	

	Behind The Scenes	
Feature(s):	The Wicked Ones	140:42
Timed component(s):	Nymphomaniac Fuck Club Foxy Tarts Behind The Scenes Notices	1:00 1:00 1:00 17:39 0:54
Total running time:		162:15

Summary of reasons for decision:

The DVD comprises a sexually explicit feature, sexually explicit behind-the-scenes footage, and three trailers. The main focus of the publication is the explicit depiction of sexual activity between men and women for the purpose of adult sexual arousal and entertainment.

Two excisions from the DVD's "Behind The Scenes" component were required. These excisions were to remove depictions of women urinating in a sexualised manner interspersed with other sexual conduct. Had the excisions been made the publication would have been classified as objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years. The distributor declined the opportunity to make the excisions and the publication is therefore classified as objectionable.

The Classification Office has considered the effects of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act (1990) on the application of the classification criteria. The classification interferes with the freedom of expression, but this is an outcome that is consistent with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2)(d) are deemed to be objectionable.